

EXHIBIT 1



**Service of Process
Transmittal**

07/09/2021

CT Log Number 539871754

TO: Brian Bowers, President
Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
4510 West 77th Street, Suite 200
Edina, MN 55435-

RE: Process Served in North Carolina

FOR: Financial Recovery Services, Inc. (Domestic State: MN)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: WAYNE L. ROSS, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
Pltf. vs. FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC., etc., Dft.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: --

COURT/AGENCY: None Specified
Case # 21CV51107

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: CT Corporation System, Raleigh, NC

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Certified Mail on 07/09/2021 postmarked on 07/07/2021

JURISDICTION SERVED : North Carolina

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: None Specified

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): None Specified

ACTION ITEMS: SOP Papers with Transmittal, via UPS Next Day Air , 1ZX212780112418600
Image SOP
Email Notification, Brian Bowers bbowers@fin-rec.com

REGISTERED AGENT ADDRESS: CT Corporation System
160 Mine Lake CT
Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27615
866-539-8692
CorporationTeam@wolterskluwer.com

The information contained in this Transmittal is provided by CT for quick reference only. It does not constitute a legal opinion, and should not otherwise be relied on, as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any other information contained in the included documents. The recipient(s) of this form is responsible for reviewing and interpreting the included documents and taking appropriate action, including consulting with its legal and other advisors as necessary. CT disclaims all liability for the information contained in this form, including for any omissions or inaccuracies that may be contained therein.



7020 0640 0000 6570 5723



Milberg.

COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN PLLC

P.O. Box 12638

Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
c/o CT Corporation System
160 Mine Lake Court, Ste. 200
Raleigh, NC 27615

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

CLEVELAND

County

File No.

21CV51107

In The General Court Of Justice

☐ District ☒ Superior Court Division

Name Of Plaintiff

WAYNE L. ROSS

Address

City, State, Zip

VERSUS

Name Of Defendant(s)

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.

CIVIL SUMMONS

☐ ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS (ASSESS FEE)

G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3 and 4

Date Original Summons Issued

Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) Issued

To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:

Name And Address Of Defendant 1

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.

Registered Agent: CT Corporation System

160 Mine Lake Ct., Ste 200

Raleigh

NC

27615

Name And Address Of Defendant 2



IMPORTANT! You have been sued! These papers are legal documents, DO NOT throw these papers out! You have to respond within 30 days. You may want to talk with a lawyer about your case as soon as possible, and, if needed, speak with someone who reads English and can translate these papers!

¡IMPORTANTE! ¡Se ha entablado un proceso civil en su contra! Estos papeles son documentos legales. ¡NO TIRE estos papeles!

Tiene que contestar a más tardar en 30 días. ¡Puede querer consultar con un abogado lo antes posible acerca de su caso y, de ser necesario, hablar con alguien que lea inglés y que pueda traducir estos documentos!

A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You!

You are notified to appear and answer the complaint of the plaintiff as follows:

1. Serve a copy of your written answer to the complaint upon the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after you have been served. You may serve your answer by delivering a copy to the plaintiff or by mailing it to the plaintiff's last known address, and
2. File the original of the written answer with the Clerk of Superior Court of the county named above.

If you fail to answer the complaint, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (if none, Address Of Plaintiff)

SCOTT C. HARRIS

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC

900 W. MORGAN STREET

RALEIGH

NC

27603

Date Issued

6-30-2021

Time

3:38

☐ AM

☒ PM

Signature

[Signature]

☐ Deputy CSC

☐ Assistant CSC

☐ Clerk Of Superior Court

☐ ENDORSEMENT (ASSESS FEE)

This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60) days.

Date Of Endorsement

Time

☐ AM

☐ PM

Signature

[Signature]

☐ Deputy CSC

☐ Assistant CSC

☐ Clerk Of Superior Court

NOTE TO PARTIES: Many counties have **MANDATORY ARBITRATION** programs in which most cases where the amount in controversy is \$25,000 or less are heard by an arbitrator before a trial. The parties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandatory arbitration, and, if so, what procedure is to be followed.

(Over)

AOC-CV-100, Rev. 4/18

© 2018 Administrative Office of the Courts

RETURN OF SERVICE		
I certify that this Summons and a copy of the complaint were received and served as follows:		
DEFENDANT 1		
Date Served	Time Served <div style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM</div>	Name Of Defendant
<input type="checkbox"/> By delivering to the defendant named above a copy of the summons and complaint. <input type="checkbox"/> By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the defendant named above with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. <input type="checkbox"/> As the defendant is a corporation, service was effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the person named below. <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 60px; margin-top: 5px; padding: 5px;">Name And Address Of Person With Whom Copies Left (if corporation, give title of person copies left with)</div> <input type="checkbox"/> Other manner of service (specify)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant WAS NOT served for the following reason:		
DEFENDANT 2		
Date Served	Time Served <div style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/> AM <input type="checkbox"/> PM</div>	Name Of Defendant
<input type="checkbox"/> By delivering to the defendant named above a copy of the summons and complaint. <input type="checkbox"/> By leaving a copy of the summons and complaint at the dwelling house or usual place of abode of the defendant named above with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein. <input type="checkbox"/> As the defendant is a corporation, service was effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the person named below. <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 60px; margin-top: 5px; padding: 5px;">Name And Address Of Person With Whom Copies Left (if corporation, give title of person copies left with)</div> <input type="checkbox"/> Other manner of service (specify)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Defendant WAS NOT served for the following reason:		
Service Fee Paid \$	Signature Of Deputy Sheriff Making Return	
Date Received	Name Of Sheriff (type or print)	
Date Of Return	County Of Sheriff	

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

CLEVELAND

County

File No.

21WS1107

In The General Court Of Justice

☐ District ☒ Superior Court Division

Name And Address Of Plaintiff 1

WAYNE L. ROSS

FILED

2021 JUN 30 P 3: 38

GENERAL

CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET

Name And Address Of Plaintiff 2

CLEVELAND CO., C.S.C.

☒ INITIAL FILING ☐ SUBSEQUENT FILING

BY:

Rule 5(b) of the General Rules of Practice for the Superior and District Courts

VERSUS

Name And Address Of Defendant 1

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.

Registered Agent: CT Corporation System

160 Mine Lake Ct., Ste 200

Raleigh

NC

27615

Name And Address Of Attorney Or Party, If Not Represented
(complete for initial appearance or change of address)

SCOTT C. HARRIS

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC

900 W. MORGAN ST.

RALEIGH

NC

27603

Telephone No.

9196005003

Cellular Telephone No.

9196005003

NC Attorney Bar No.

35328

Attorney Email Address

SHARRIS@MILBERG.COM

☒ Initial Appearance in Case ☐ Change of Address

Name Of Firm

Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman

Fax No.

9196005035

Summons Submitted

☒ Yes

☐ No

Name And Address Of Defendant 2

Counsel For

☒ All Plaintiffs ☐ All Defendants ☐ Only: (list party(ies) represented)

☒ Jury Demanded In Pleading

☐ Complex Litigation

☐ Stipulate to Arbitration

TYPE OF PLEADING

(check all that apply)

- ☐ Amend (AMND)
- ☐ Amended Answer/Reply (AMND-Response)
- ☐ Amended Complaint (AMND)
- ☐ Assess Costs (COST)
- ☐ Answer/Reply (ANSW-Response) (see Note)
- ☐ Change Venue (CHVN)
- ☒ Complaint (COMP)
- ☐ Confession Of Judgment (CNFJ)
- ☐ Consent Order (CONS)
- ☐ Consolidate (CNSL)
- ☐ Contempt (CNTP)
- ☐ Continue (CNTN)
- ☐ Compel (CMPL)
- ☐ Counterclaim (CTCL) Assess Court Costs
- ☐ Crossclaim (list on back) (CRSS) Assess Court Costs
- ☐ Dismiss (DISM) Assess Court Costs
- ☐ Exempt/Waive Mediation (EXMD)
- ☐ Extend Statute Of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL)
- ☐ Extend Time For Complaint (EXCO)
- ☐ Failure To Join Necessary Party (FJNP)

- ☐ Failure To State A Claim (FASC)
- ☐ Implementation Of Wage Withholding In Non-IV-D Cases (OTHR)
- ☐ Improper Venue/Division (IMVN)
- ☐ Including Attorney's Fees (ATTY)
- ☐ Intervene (INTR)
- ☐ Interplead (OTHR)
- ☐ Lack Of Jurisdiction (Person) (LJPN)
- ☐ Lack Of Jurisdiction (Subject Matter) (LJSM)
- ☐ Modification Of Child Support In IV-D Actions (MSUP)
- ☐ Notice Of Dismissal With Or Without Prejudice (VOLD)
- ☐ Petition To Sue As Indigent (OTHR)
- ☐ Rule 12 Motion In Lieu Of Answer (MDLA)
- ☐ Sanctions (SANC)
- ☐ Set Aside (OTHR)
- ☐ Show Cause (SHOW)
- ☐ Transfer (TRFR)
- ☐ Third Party Complaint (list Third Party Defendants on back) (TPCL)
- ☐ Vacate/Modify Judgment (VCMD)
- ☐ Withdraw As Counsel (WDCN)
- ☐ Other (specify and list each separately)

NOTE: All filings in civil actions shall include as the first page of the filing a cover sheet summarizing the critical elements of the filing in a format prescribed by the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the Clerk of Superior Court shall require a party to refile a filing which does not include the required cover sheet. For subsequent filings in civil actions, the filing party must include either a General Civil (AOC-CV-751), Motion (AOC-CV-752), or Court Action (AOC-CV-753) cover sheet.

(Over)

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF		
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Appeal (ADMA) <input type="checkbox"/> Appointment Of Receiver (APRC) <input type="checkbox"/> Attachment/Garnishment (ATTC) <input type="checkbox"/> Claim And Delivery (CLMD) <input type="checkbox"/> Collection On Account (ACCT) <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation (CNDM) <input type="checkbox"/> Contract (CNTR) <input type="checkbox"/> Discovery Scheduling Order (DSCH) <input type="checkbox"/> Injunction (INJU)	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited Driving Privilege - Out-Of-State Convictions (PLDP) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Malpractice (MDML) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor Settlement (MSTL) <input type="checkbox"/> Money Owed (MNYO) <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence - Motor Vehicle (MVNG) <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence - Other (NEGO) <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Lien G.S. Chapter 44A (MVLN) <input type="checkbox"/> Possession Of Personal Property (POPP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability (PROD) <input type="checkbox"/> Real Property (RLPR) <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Performance (SPPR) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify and list each separately) 1. Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. 2. Violation of N.C.G.S. § 75-50, et seq. 3. Violation of N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1
Date 6/28/2021		Signature Of Attorney/Party J. C. Harris
FEES IN G.S. 7A-308 APPLY Assert Right Of Access (ARAS) Substitution Of Trustee (Judicial Foreclosure) (RSOT) Supplemental Procedures (SUPR)		
PRO HAC VICE FEES APPLY Motion For Out-Of-State Attorney To Appear In NC Courts In A Civil Or Criminal Matter (Out-Of-State Attorney/Pro Hac Vice Fee)		
No.	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Plaintiff(s)	
No.	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Defendant(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Third Party Defendant(s)	Summons Submitted <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Plaintiff(s) Against Whom Counterclaim Asserted <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div>		
Defendant(s) Against Whom Crossclaim Asserted <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div>		

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF CLEVELAND

IN THE ~~FILED~~ GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

CASE NO. 2021-1107

2021 JUN 30 12:09 PM

CLEVELAND CO., O.C.C.

BY _____

WAYNE L. ROSS, On Behalf of
Himself and Others Similarly
Situating,

Plaintiff,

v.

FINANCIAL RECOVERY
SERVICES, INC., a Minnesota
Corporation,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

(Class Action)

Plaintiff Wayne L. Ross ("Ross" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, through counsel, files this Class Action Complaint against Financial Recovery Services, Inc. (hereinafter, "Defendant") and states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Action to recover damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA") and North Carolina Debt Collection Act, N.C.G.S. § 75-50, *et seq.* ("NCDCA").

2. Defendant unreasonably disclosed, communicated and/or publicized information regarding Plaintiff's debt and all others similarly situated to another person.

3. This class action is filed pursuant to Rule 23 of the Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all consumers Defendant unlawfully communicated consumer debt, in violation of North Carolina and Federal law as further set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The foregoing allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and this action pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 42-44, N.C.G.S. § 25-1-305, N.C.G.S. §§ 75-16 and 56, N.C.G.S. § 1-75.4 and N.C.G.S. § 1-253.

6. Venue is proper under N.C.G.S. § 1-80 in that Plaintiff resides in Cleveland County and Defendant has regularly engaged in business in Cleveland County, North Carolina.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Ross is a citizen and resident of Cleveland County, North Carolina, a "consumer" as defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(1), and allegedly owes a "debt" as defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(2) to Defendant.

8. Defendant is a Minnesota corporation, existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with a principal office and place of business at 4510 West 77th Street Suite 200 Edina, MN 55435-5507, duly domesticated in the State of North Carolina by filing with the North Carolina Secretary of State's Office on November 12, 2003. Defendant transacts business in this state and throughout the country.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(3).

10. Defendant is regularly engaged in the business of collecting debt allegedly owed by consumers to others for profit in the State of North Carolina. Its employees, affiliates, directors, agents, vendors, and attorneys act under the direction and supervision of Defendant within the scope of their actual or apparent authority. Therefore, Defendant is responsible and/or vicariously liable for the actions of its employees, affiliates, directors, agents, vendors and attorneys under, *inter alia*, the theory of *Respondeat Superior*. All references to Defendant mean Defendant, its owners, officers, agents, and/or employees.

11. The principal purpose of Defendant's business is debt collection.

12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of intrastate and interstate commerce, including telephone and mail in furtherance of its debt collection business.

13. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("Debt").

14. The Debt is an alleged obligation of Plaintiff to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

15. The Debt does not arise from any business enterprise of Plaintiff.

16. The Debt is a "debt" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(2).

17. At exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.

18. Upon information and belief, at time the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection, the Debt was in default.

19. In effort to collect the Debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by written correspondence.

20. Rather than preparing and mailing such written correspondence to Plaintiffs on its own, Defendant used a third-party vendor to perform such activities on its behalf.

21. As part of utilization, Defendant conveyed information regarding the Debt to the third-party vendor.

22. Defendant's conveyance of information regarding the Debt to third-party vendor is a communication as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(2).

23. Defendant's conveyance of information regarding the Debt to third-party vendor is an unreasonable publication as described in N.C.G.S. § 75-53.

24. The third-party vendor then populated some or all this information into a prewritten template, printed, and mailed the letter to Plaintiffs at Defendant's direction.

25. Plaintiff Ross received and read a letter dated March 12, 2021 concerning a debt owed by Ross to Barclays Bank Delaware in the amount of \$5,845.52. The letter received by Plaintiff is referred to hereafter as the "Letter."

26. The Letter was the initial written communication Plaintiffs received from Defendant concerning the Debt.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

27. The forgoing allegations are hereby reincorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.

28. Pursuant to the Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of the following classes which are tentatively defined as:

NC Class: All consumers throughout the State of North Carolina where Defendant sent information concerning consumers' Debt to a third party without written permission of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after a date four (4) years prior and to the filing of this Complaint.

FDCPA Subclass: All consumers in North Carolina where Defendant sent information concerning consumers' Debt to a third party without prior consent of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after one (1) year prior to the filing of this Complaint.

29. Excluded from the classes are: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) Defendant and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest and its legal representatives, assigns and successors; and (c) all persons and entities who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the Class.

30. *Numerosity:* Plaintiff is unable to provide a specific number of members in each of the classes because that information is solely in the possession of Defendant. However, the exact number of class members, including the names and addresses of all class members, will be easily ascertained through a review of Defendant's business records. Upon information and belief, each class contains at least hundreds of consumers and likely exceeds several thousand consumers and is therefore so numerous that joinder of all members would be impracticable.

31. *Commonality:* Common questions of law and fact predominate over any

individual issues that may be presented, because Defendant's conveyance of information to a third-party in a single action or series of actions constituted thousands of unlawful disclosures at substantially the same time. Common questions include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant's transmission of information concerning Plaintiff and all others similarly situated constitutes a violation of the FDCPA.
- b. Whether Defendant communicated with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, consumer reporting agency, the creditor, or the attorney of the creditor, in connection with the collection of any debt in violation of the FDCPA.
- c. Whether Defendant unreasonably publicized information regarding a consumer's debt in violation of the NCDCA.
- d. Whether Defendant communicated with any person other than the debtor or his attorney in violation of the NCDCA.

32. *Typicality*: The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed class and all are based on the same facts and legal theories, as all such claims arise out of Defendant's conduct.

33. *Adequate Representation*: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class in that he does not have antagonistic or conflicting claims with other members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in the prosecution of complex class actions, specifically including experience with consumer class actions.

34. Neither Plaintiff nor counsel have any interests that might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action. Plaintiff is aware of their responsibilities to the putative class and has accepted such responsibilities.

35. *Predominance and Superiority*: The classes are appropriate for certification because questions of law and fact common to the members of the classes predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the classes is impracticable. Should individual class members be required to bring separate actions, this Court or courts in other jurisdictions would be confronted with a multiplicity of lawsuits burdening the court system while also creating the risk of inconsistent rulings and contradictory judgments. In contrast to proceeding on a case-by-case basis, in which inconsistent results will magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system, this class action presents far fewer management difficulties while providing unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
Violations of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act,
15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*
(On behalf of the FDCPA Sub-Class)

36. The forgoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

37. Defendant acts as a “debt collector,” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

38. Defendant acted as a "debt collector" in contacting Plaintiffs.

39. Plaintiff and all members of the Classes are "consumers," as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) since they are natural persons allegedly obligated to pay a consumer debt.

40. At all material times, Plaintiff's debt and the debts of the Class members were "debt," as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

41. Defendant has collected debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b), in that it disclosed information to a third party without prior consent of the consumer.

42. FDCPA section 1692c(b) states in pertinent part that "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector."

43. The third party does not fall within any exceptions provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).

44. The United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit has recently ruled that a **Defendant's transmittal of a Plaintiff's personal debt-related information to a third-party letter preparation vendor constitutes a communication "in connection with the collection of any debt" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) and such action, without the debtor's**

authorization, constitutes a violation of said statute. See, Hunstein v. Preferred Collection & Mgmt. Servs., Inc., No. 19-14434, 2021 WL 1556069, (11th Cir. Apr. 21, 2021).

45. In Hunstein, Id., the debt collector ("Preferred Collection and Management Services, Inc.") electronically transmitted data regarding a consumer's ("Mr. Hunstein") debt, namely, his status as a debtor, the balance of the debt, the entity to which he owed the debt and the subject of such debt to a third-party vendor, for the purpose of creating, printing and mailing a "dunning" letter to the debtor.

46. The core facts presented to the Appeals Court in the Hunstein's case are virtually identical to those alleged in this Complaint.

47. Here, Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication to the third party concerning the Debt.

48. Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication to the third party concerning Plaintiffs' personal and/or confidential information.

49. Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication with anyone concerning the Debt or Plaintiffs' personal and/or confidential information.

50. Upon belief, Defendant has used a third-party for these purposes thousands of times.

51. Defendant uses third party for the sole purpose of maximizing profits.

52. Defendant uses third party without regard to the propriety and privacy of the information it discloses to such third-party.

53. Defendant uses third party with reckless disregard for the harm to

Plaintiff and the Class that could result from Defendant's unauthorized disclosure of private and sensitive information.

54. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f provides a debtor may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt.

55. The unauthorized disclosure of a consumer's private and sensitive information is both unfair and unconscionable.

56. Defendant disclosed Plaintiff's private and sensitive information to a third party in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.

57. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to actual and statutory damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of North Carolina Debt Collection Act, N.C.G.S. § 75-50, *et seq.* (On behalf of the NC Class)

58. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

59. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the NCDCA, N.C.G.S. § 75-50.

60. Plaintiff and the North Carolina Class are "consumers" as that term is defined by N.C.G.S. § 75-50.

61. N.C.G.S. § 75-53 prohibits debt collectors from unreasonably publicizing information regarding a consumer's debt including, but not limited to, any communication with any person other than the debtor or his attorney.

62. Defendant violated N.C.G.S. § 75-53 by communicating consumer debt to a third party without the written permission of the debtor.

63. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, is entitled to recover statutory damages under the NCDCA for each instance in which an improper communication occurred.

64. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to actual and statutory damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

**Violation of the North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act:
N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1
(on behalf of the NC Class)**

65. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

66. Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 75-56(a), "the specific and general provisions of [the NCDCA] shall exclusively constitute the unfair or deceptive acts or practices proscribed by G.S. 75-1.1 in the area of commerce regulated by this Article."

67. Defendant's operation with consumers and consumer Debt constitutes commerce.

68. N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1 (the "UDTPA") prohibits "unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

69. The specific acts by Defendant are in or affecting commerce.

70. The specific acts by Defendant are unfair and deceptive, as defined by

the UDTPA.

71. Plaintiff has been subjected to pecuniary and non-pecuniary injuries resulting from Defendant's unfair and deceptive conduct.

72. Plaintiff and others similarly situated are entitled to recover treble damages, attorney's fees and costs if allowed by the discretion of the Court pursuant to Chapter 75 of the North Carolina General Statutes.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated pray the Court for judgment as set forth below:

1. Certifying this action as a class action as provided by Rule 23 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, appointing Plaintiffs as class representatives, and appointing the undersigned as Class Counsel;
2. Finding Defendant violated the FDCPA;
3. Finding Defendant violated the NCDCA;
4. Adjudging Defendant liable under the causes of actions asserted above, and awarding Plaintiff and the members of the NC Class and FDCPA Sub-Class (collectively, "Class Members") actual and statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k and N.C.G.S § 75-56;
5. Awarding Plaintiff and Class Members their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FDCPA and NCDCA;
6. That the compensatory damages of Plaintiff and the Class Members be trebled by the Court pursuant to Chapter 75 of the North Carolina

General Statutes;

7. For punitive damages to the extent allowed by law;
8. The costs of this action be taxed against Defendant;
9. For a trial by jury on all issues so triable;
10. Awarding pre and post judgment interest as allowed by law; and
11. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this 28th day of June, 2021.

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON
PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC



Scott C. Harris

N.C. Bar No.: 35328

Patrick M. Wallace

N.C. Bar No.: 48138

900 W. Morgan Street

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Telephone: (919) 600-5000

Facsimile: (919) 600-5035

sharris@milberg.com

pwallace@milberg.com

*Attorneys for Wayne L. Ross and the
putative classes*